arXiv:1610.06037v2 [math.MG] 21 Oct 2016

A generalization of parallelograms involving inscribed ellipses, conjugate diameters, and tangency chords

Alan Horwitz

10/21/16

Abstract

A convex quadrilateral, Q, is called a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if the intersection point of the diagonals of Q coincides with the midpoint of at least one of the diagonals of Q. A parallelogram, P, is a special case of a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral since the diagonals of P bisect one another. We prove two results about ellipses inscribed in midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals, which generalize properties of ellipses inscribed in parallelograms. First, Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if and only if each ellipse inscribed in Q has tangency chords which are parallel to one of the diagonals of Q. Second, Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if and only if each ellipse inscribed in Q has a pair of conjugate diameters parallel to the diagonals of Q.

1 Introduction

Given a diameter, l, of an ellipse, E, there is a unique diameter, m, of E such that the midpoints of all chords parallel to l lie on m; In this case we say that l and m are conjugate diameters of E, or that m is a diameter of E conjugate to l; We say that E is inscribed in a convex quadrilateral, Q, if E lies inside Q and is tangent to each side of Q. A tangency chord is any chord connecting two points where E is tangent to a side of Q. There are two interesting properties(probably mostly known) of ellipses inscribed in parallelograms, P, which involve tangency chords and conjugate diameters:

(P1) Each ellipse inscribed in P has tangency chords which are parallel to one of the diagonals of P.

(P2) Each ellipse inscribed in P has a pair of conjugate diameters which are parallel to the diagonals of P.

This author is not sure if P1 is known at all, while P2 appears to be known([7]) only if E is the ellipse of maximal area inscribed in P. The purpose of this paper is not only to show that P1 and P2 each hold for a larger class of convex quadrilaterals, which we call midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals,

but that if Q is **not** a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, then no ellipse inscribed in Q satisfies P1 or P2. Hence each of these properties completely characterizes the class of midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals(see Theorems 3 and 2 below), and thus they are a generalization of parallelograms in this sense. Midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals were first defined and discussed in [3], where we proved a result about equal conjugate diameters. Here is the definition: A convex quadrilateral, Q, is called a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if the intersection point of the diagonals of Q coincides with the midpoint of at least one of the diagonals of Q. A parallelogram, P, is a special case of a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral since the diagonals of P bisect one another. Equivalently, if Q is not a parallelogram, then Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if and only if the line, L_Q, thru the midpoints of the diagonals of Q contains one of the diagonals of Q. The line L_Q plays an important role for ellipses inscribed in quadrilaterals due to the following result:

Theorem 1 *(Newton): Let M_1 and M_2 be the midpoints of the diagonals of a convex quadrilateral, Q. If E is an ellipse inscribed in Q, then the center of E must lie on the open line segment, Z, connecting M_1 and M_2.*

See the paper by Chakerian [1] for a proof of Theorem 1, and [4] and [5] for the converse result, which we do not need in this paper. Thus Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if and only if the center of any ellipse inscribed in Q lies on one of the diagonals of Q.

2 Preliminary Results

Throughout we let L_Q denote the line thru the midpoints of a given quadrilateral, Q, and we define an affine transformation, $T : R^2 \to R^2$ to be the map $T(X) = AX+B$, where A is an invertible 2×2 matrix. Note that affine transformations map lines to lines, parallel lines to parallel lines, and preserve ratios of lengths along a given line. Also, the family of ellipses, tangent lines to ellipses, and four–sided convex polygons are preserved under affine transformations.

A quadrilateral which has an incircle, i.e., one for which a single circle can be constructed which is tangent to all four sides, is called a tangential quadrilateral. A quadrilateral which has perpendicular diagonals is called an orthodiagonal quadrilateral.

The following lemma shows that affine transformations also preserve the class of midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals. The proof follows immediately from the properties of affine transformations.

Lemma 1 *Let $T : R^2 \to R^2$ be an affine transformation and let Q be a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Then $Q' = T(Q)$ is also a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral.*

We use the notation $Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ to denote the quadrilateral with vertices A_1, A_2, A_3, and A_4, starting with A_1 = lower left corner and going

clockwise. Denote the sides of $Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ by S_1, S_2, S_3, and S_4, going clockwise and starting with the leftmost side, S_1; Denote the lengths of the sides of $Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ by $a = |A_1A_4|, b = |A_1A_2|, c = |A_2A_3|$, and $d = |A_3A_4|$. Finally, denote the diagonals of $Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ by $D_1 = \overline{A_1A_3}$ and $D_2 = \overline{A_2A_4}$. There are two types of midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals: Type 1, where $D_1 \subset L_Q$, which implies that the intersection point of the diagonals of Q coincides with the midpoint of D_2, and Type 2, where $D_2 \subset L_Q$, which implies that the intersection point of the diagonals of Q coincides with the midpoint of D_1; We define a trapezoid to be a quadrilateral with at least one pair of parallel sides. When working later with properties that are invariant under affine transformations, it will be convenient to work with the following special quadrilaterals:

(1) Any convex quadrilateral, Q, which is not a trapezoid, may be mapped by an affine transformation to the quadrilateral

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{s,t} &= Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4), \\ A_1 &= (0,0), A_2 = (0,1), A_3 = (s,t), A_4 = (1,0), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

for some $s, t > 0$; It follows easily that since $Q_{s,t}$ is convex, $s + t > 1$, and since $Q_{s,t}$ is not a trapezoid, $s \neq 1 \neq t$; Thus we assume that $(s,t) \in G$, where

$$G = \{(s,t) : s > 0, t > 0, s + t > 1, s \neq 1 \neq t\}. \tag{2}$$

(2) Any trapezoid, Q, may be mapped by an affine transformation to the quadrilateral

$$\begin{aligned} Q_t &= Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4), \\ A_1 &= (0,0), A_2 = (0,1), A_3 = (1,t), A_4 = (1,0),\ 0 < t \neq 1. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Proposition 1 *Suppose that E_0 is an ellipse inscribed in $Q_{s,t}$.*

(i) The center of E_0 must lie on the open line segment $\left\{(h, L_{Q_{s,t}}(h)) : h \in I_s\right\}$, where $L_{Q_{s,t}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{s - t + 2x(t-1)}{s-1}$ and $I_s = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } s < 1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right) & \text{if } s \geq 1. \end{cases}$.

(ii) E_0 is tangent to the four sides of $Q_{s,t}$ at the points
$\zeta_1 = \left(0, \frac{s-2h}{2(s-1)h}\right) \in S_1$, $\zeta_2 = \left(\frac{(2h-1)s^2}{s(s+t-2)-2(t-1)h}, \frac{(s+2h(t-1))(s-1)}{s(s+t-2)-2(t-1)h}\right) \in S_2$,
$\zeta_3 = \left(\frac{s+2h(t-1)}{s+t-2h}, \frac{(2h-1)t^2}{(s-1)(s+t-2h)}\right) \in S_3$, *and* $\zeta_4 = \left(\frac{s-2h}{2(t-1)h+s-t}, 0\right) \in S_4$.

Proof. The midpoints of the diagonals of $Q_{s,t}$ are $M_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $M_2 = \left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{t}{2}\right)$; (i) then follows immediately from Theorem 1. (ii) is proven in [5](see

Proposition 3.1(ii)). We sketch the main idea of the proof here. First, let

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x,y) &= 4(s-1)^2(L_{Q_{s,t}}(h))^2(x-h)^2 + \\ &\quad 4(s-1)^2h^2(y-L_{Q_{s,t}}(h))^2 \\ &\quad -4(s-1)(2(t-1)h^2 \\ &\quad +(s-t+2)h-s)(x-h)(y-L_{Q_{s,t}}(h)).\end{aligned}$$

Using basic calculus techniques, it follows easily that the ellipse, E_0, with equation $\psi(x,y)=0$ is inscribed in $Q_{s,t}$, and that the points of tangency of E_0 with Q are given by $\zeta_j \in S_j, j=1,2,3,4$; However, one also needs a uniqueness result, since it is conceivable that there could be another ellipse inscribed in $Q_{s,t}$ whose equation is not given by $\psi(x,y)=0$, which could then possibly yield other points of tangency. The uniqueness is given in the following result(see [3]): Suppose that the convex quadrilateral Q is not a parallelogram, and let E_1 and E_2 be ellipses with the same center, with each inscribed in Q; Then $E_1 = E_2$; Chakerian([1]) also mentions this result, but no proof is cited or given.

We now state the analogy of Proposition 1 for the trapezoid Q_t. We omit the details of the proof, which is similar in nature to the proof of Proposition 1. ■

Proposition 2 *Suppose that E_0 is an ellipse inscribed in Q_t.*

(i)The center of E_0 must lie on the open line segment $\left\{\left(\frac{1}{2},k\right) : k \in I_t\right\}$, *where* $I_t = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{t}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } t<1 \\ \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{t}{2}\right) & \text{if } t \geq 1. \end{cases}$

(ii) E_0 is tangent to the four sides of Q_t at the points $\zeta_1 = \left(0,\frac{t-2k}{t-1}\right) \in S_1, \zeta_2 = \left(\frac{2k-1}{(t+1-2k)(t-1)},\frac{t}{t+1-2k}\right) \in S_2, \zeta_3 = \left(1,\frac{(2k-1)t}{t-1}\right) \in S_3$, *and* $\zeta_4 = \left(\frac{t-2k}{2k(t-1)},0\right) \in S_4$.

Lemma 2 *Suppose that Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral which is also a trapezoid. Then Q is a parallelogram.*

Proof. We use proof by contradiction. So suppose that Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral which is a trapezoid, but which is not a parallelogram. By affine invariance, we may assume that Q equals the quadrilateral Q_t given in (3). The diagonals of Q_t are the open line segments D_1: $y = tx$ and D_2: $y = 1-x$, each with $0 < x < 1$; The midpoints of the diagonals are $M_1 = \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $M_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{t}{2}\right)$, and the diagonals intersect at $P = \left(\frac{1}{1+t},\frac{t}{1+t}\right)$; Now $M_1 = P \iff t = 1$ and $M_2 = P \iff t = 1$; Hence Q_t is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. ■

Finally we state the analogy of Proposition 1 for parallelograms. A slightly different version was proven in [6]. Again we omit the details of the proof.

Proposition 3 *Let P be the parallelogram with vertices $A_1 = (-l-d, -k), A_2 = (-l+d, k), A_3 = (l+d, k)$, and $A_4 = (l-d, -k)$, where $l, k > 0, d < l$. Suppose that E_0 is an ellipse inscribed in P. Then E_0 is tangent to the four sides of P at the points $\zeta_1 = (-l+dv, kv) \in S_1$, $\zeta_2 = (-lv+d, k) \in S_2$, $\zeta_3 = (l-dv, -kv) \in S_3$, and $\zeta_4 = (lv-d, -k) \in S_4$.*

3 Tangency Chords Parallel to the Diagonals

The following lemma gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the quadrilateral $Q_{s,t}$ given in (1) to be a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral.

Lemma 3 *$Q_{s,t}$ is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral if and only if $s = t$ or $s + t = 2$.*

Proof. The diagonals of $Q_{s,t}$ are D_1: $y = \dfrac{t}{s}x$ & D_2: $y = 1-x$, and the diagonals intersect at the point $P = \left(\dfrac{s}{s+t}, \dfrac{t}{s+t}\right)$; The midpoints of the diagonals of Q are $M_1 = \left(\dfrac{1}{2}, \dfrac{1}{2}\right)$ and $M_2 = \left(\dfrac{s}{2}, \dfrac{t}{2}\right)$; $M_1 = P \iff \dfrac{s}{s+t} = \dfrac{1}{2}$ and $\dfrac{t}{s+t} = \dfrac{1}{2} \iff s = t$; $M_2 = P \iff \dfrac{s}{s+t} = \dfrac{1}{2}s$ and $\dfrac{t}{s+t} = \dfrac{1}{2}t \iff s + t = 2$. ■

Theorem 2 *Suppose that E_0 is an ellipse inscribed in a convex quadrilateral, $Q = Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$; Let $\zeta_j \in S_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denote the points of tangency of E_0 with Q.*

(i) If Q is a type 1 midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, then $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4}$ are parallel to D_1.

(ii) If Q is a type 2 midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, then $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4}$ are parallel to D_2.

(iii) If Q is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, then neither $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ nor $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4}$ are parallel to D_1, and neither $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3}$ nor $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4}$ are parallel to D_2.

Proof. Case 1: No two sides of Q are parallel.

Then we may assume that Q equals the quadrilateral $Q_{s,t}$ given in (1), with diagonals D_1: $y = \dfrac{t}{s}x$ and D_2: $y = 1 - x$. Recall that $(s, t) \in G$, where G is given in (2). Using Proposition 1(ii), after some simplification we have:

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2} = \dfrac{2\,(s-2)\,(t-1)\,h + s\,(s+t-2)}{2s\,(s-1)\,h}$, so that

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2} = \dfrac{t}{s} \iff \dfrac{(s+t-2)\,(s-2h)}{2s\,(s-1)\,h} = 0 \iff s + t = 2$ since $h = \dfrac{1}{2}s \notin I_s$.

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4} = \dfrac{t(2\,(t-1)\,h+s-t)}{2\,(s-1)\,((t-2)h+s)}$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4} = \dfrac{t}{s} \iff$

$-\dfrac{t(s+t-2)\,(s-2h)}{2s\,(s-1)\,((t-2)h+s)} = 0 \iff s+t=2$ since $h = \dfrac{1}{2}s \notin I_s$.

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3} = \dfrac{2\,(t-1)\,(s-t-1)\,h+s^2+t^2-s-t}{2(\,(s-t+1)\,h-s)\,(s-1)}$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3} = -1 \iff$

$\dfrac{(s-t)\,(s+t-1)\,(2h-1)}{2(\,(s-t+1)\,h-s)\,(s-1)} = 0 \iff s=t$ since $h = \dfrac{1}{2} \notin I_s$ and $s+t \neq 1$.

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4} = -\dfrac{2(t-1)h+s-t}{2\,(s-1)\,h}$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4} = -1 \iff$

$\dfrac{(s-t)\,(2h-1)}{2\,(s-1)\,h} = 0 \iff s=t$ since $h = \dfrac{1}{2} \notin I_s$.

Theorem 2 then follows from Lemma 3, and that proves Theorem 2 for case 1.

Case 2: Q is a trapezoid, but not a parallelogram.

Then Q is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral by Lemma 2. Also, we may assume that Q equals the quadrilateral Q_t given in (3), with

diagonals D_1: $y = tx$ and D_2: $y = 1-x$. Recall that $0 < t \neq 1$. Using Proposition 2(ii), after some simplification we have: slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2} = 2\dfrac{(t+1)k-t}{t-1}$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2} = t \iff (t+1)\,\dfrac{2k-t}{t-1} = 0 \iff k = \dfrac{1}{2}t \notin I_t$

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4} = \dfrac{2k(t-1)}{4k-t-1}$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4} = t \iff -\dfrac{(t+1)\,(2k-t)}{4k-t-1} = 0 \iff k = \dfrac{1}{2}t \notin I_t$

Thus $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4}$ are each never parallel to D_1.

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3} = 2k-2$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3} = -1 \iff k = \dfrac{1}{2} \notin I_t$

slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4} = -2k$, so that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4} = -1 \iff k = \dfrac{1}{2} \notin I_t$

Thus $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3}$ and $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4}$ are each never parallel to D_2; That proves Theorem 2 for case 2.

Case 3: Q is a parallelogram.

As noted in the introduction, Theorem 2 is probably known in this case, and there are undoubtedly other ways to prove it for parallelograms. Using Proposition 3(ii), it follows easily that slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_2}$ = slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_3\zeta_4} = \dfrac{k}{l+d}$ and slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3}$ = slope of $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4} = \dfrac{k}{d-l}$; Since the diagonals of Q are D_1: $y = k + \dfrac{k}{l+d}(x-l-d)$ and D_2: $y = k + \dfrac{k}{d-l}(x+l-d)$, and a parallelogram is a special case of a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, that proves Theorem 2 for case 3. Note that one could map Q to the unit square and then use a simplified version of Proposition 3, but that does not simplify the proof very much. ■

Recall that the lengths of the sides of $Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ are denoted by $a = |A_1A_4|, b = |A_1A_2|, c = |A_2A_3|$, and $d = |A_3A_4|$.

Lemma 4 *Suppose that $Q = Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ is both a tangential and a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Then Q is an orthodiagonal quadrilateral.*

Remark 1 *We actually prove more–that Q is a kite. That is, that two pairs of adjacent sides are equal.*

Proof. Since Q is tangential, there is a circle, E_0, inscribed in Q; Let $\zeta_j \in S_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denote the points of tangency of E_0 with Q;

Define the triangles $T_1 = \Delta\zeta_4A_1\zeta_1$ and $T_2 = \Delta A_4A_1A_2$, and define the lines $L_1 = \overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4}$ and $L_2 = \overleftrightarrow{\zeta_2\zeta_3}$; Suppose first that Q is a type 1 midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Then $L_1 \parallel D_2$ by Theorem 2(ii), which implies that T_1 and T_2 are similar triangles. Also, since E_0 is a circle, $|A_1\zeta_4| = |A_1\zeta_1|$, which implies that T_1 is isoceles. Hence T_2 is also isoceles with $b = a$; In a similar fashion, one can show that $c = d$ using the fact that $L_2 \parallel D_2$; Thus $a^2 + c^2 = b^2 + d^2$, which implies that Q is an orthodiagonal quadrilateral. The proof when Q is a type 2 midpoint diagonal quadrilateral is very similar and we omit the details. ■

We now prove a result somewhat similar to Lemma 4.

Lemma 5 *Suppose that $Q = Q(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4)$ is both a tangential and an orthodiagonal quadrilateral. Then Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral.*

Proof. Since Q is tangential, there is a circle, E_0, inscribed in Q and $a + c = b + d$, which implies that $d = a + c - b$; Since Q is orthodiagonal, $a^2 + c^2 = b^2 + d^2$; Hence $b^2 + (a + c - b)^2 - a^2 - c^2 = 0 \Rightarrow 2(b - c)(b - a) = 0$, which implies that $a = b$ and/or $b = c$; We prove the case when $a = b$; Let $\zeta_j \in S_j, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ denote the points of tangency of E_0 with Q; Then the triangle $T_1 = \Delta\zeta_4A_1\zeta_1$ is isoceles since $|A_1\zeta_4| = |A_1\zeta_1|$, and the triangle $T_2 = \Delta A_4A_1A_2$ is isoceles since $a = b$; Thus T_1 and T_2 are similar triangles, which implies that the line $\overleftrightarrow{\zeta_1\zeta_4}$ is parallel to D_2; By Theorem 2(iii), Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. ■

4 Conjugate Diameters Parallel to the Diagonals

The following lemma shows that affine transformations send conjugate diameters to conjugate diameters. The proof follows immediately from the properties of affine transformations.

Lemma 6 *Let $T : R^2 \to R^2$ be an affine transformation and suppose that l and m are conjugate diameters of an ellipse, E; Then $l' = T(l)$ and $m' = T(m)$ are conjugate diameters of $E' = T(E)$.*

Theorem 3 *(i) Suppose that Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Then each ellipse inscribed in Q has conjugate diameters parallel to the diagonals of Q.*

(ii) Suppose that Q is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Then no ellipse inscribed in Q has conjugate diameters parallel to the diagonals of Q.

Proof. Let E_0 be an ellipse inscribed in Q and let D_1 and D_2 denote the diagonals of Q; Use an affine transformation, T, to map E_0 to a circle, E_0', inscribed in the tangential quadrilateral, $Q' = T(Q)$; Let L_1 be a diameter of E_0 parallel to D_1; T maps L_1 to a diameter, L_1', of E_0' parallel to one of the diagonals of Q', which we call D_1'; Let D_2' be the other diagonal of Q'; Let L_2' be the diameter of E_0' conjugate to L_1', which implies that $L_1' \perp L_2'$ since E_0' is a circle. By Lemma 6, T^{-1} maps L_2' to L_2, a diameter of E_0 conjugate to L_1; To prove (i), suppose that Q is a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. By Lemma 1, Q' is also a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. By Lemma 4, Q' is an orthodiagonal quadrilateral, which implies that $D_1' \perp D_2'$. Since $L_1' \parallel D_1', L_1' \perp L_2'$, and $D_1' \perp D_2'$, L_2' must be parallel to D_2', which implies that L_2 is parallel to D_2 since T^{-1} is an affine transformation. That proves (i). To prove (ii), suppose that Q is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Since Q' is tangential, if Q' were also an orthodiagonal quadrilateral, then by Lemma 5, Q' would be a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. Hence Q' cannot be an orthodiagonal quadrilateral, which implies that D_1' is not perpendicular to D_2'; Now if L_2' were parallel to D_2', then it would follow that $D_1' \perp D_2'$ since $L_1' \parallel D_1'$ and $L_1' \perp L_2'$, a contradiction. Hence $L_2' \nparallel D_2'$, and so $L_2 \nparallel D_2$ since T is an affine transformation. ■

Remark 2 *In [3] we proved that there is a unique ellipse of minimal eccentricity, E_I, inscribed in a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral, Q. By Theorem 3(i), E_I has conjugate diameters parallel to the diagonals of Q. However, in [3] we proved more–we showed that the smallest non–negative angle between equal conjugate diameters of E_I equals the smallest non–negative angle between the diagonals of Q. We do not know if this property of E_I can hold if Q is not a midpoint diagonal quadrilateral. So unlike Theorem 3, we do not know if this gives another characterization of midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals.*

References

[1] G. D. Chakerian, A Distorted View of Geometry, MAA, Mathematical Plums, Washington, DC, 1979, 130–150.

[2] Heinrich Dörrie: 100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics, Dover, New York, 1965.

[3] Alan Horwitz, "Ellipses of minimal eccentricity inscribed in midpoint diagonal quadrilaterals", submitted to Forum Geometricorum.

[4] Alan Horwitz, "Ellipses of maximal area and of minimal eccentricity inscribed in a convex quadrilateral", Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2(2005), 1-12.

[5] Alan Horwitz, "Dynamics of ellipses inscribed in quadrilaterals", submitted to Mathematics Magazine.

[6] Alan Horwitz, "Ellipses inscribed in parallelograms", Australian Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications,Volume 9, Issue 1(2012), 1–12.

[7] Online http://www.math.uoc.gr/~pamfilos/eGallery/problems/ParaCircumscribed.html